1	KEVIN V. RYAN (CASBN 118321) United States Attorney	
2	EUMI L. CHOI (WVSBN 0722) Chief, Criminal Division	
4 5 6 7 8 9	ROBERT DAVID REES (CASBN 229441) Assistant United States Attorney  450 Golden Gate Avenue, 11 <sup>th</sup> Floor San Francisco, California 94102 Telephone: (415) 436-7044 Fax: (415) 436-7234 Email: robert.rees@usdoj.gov  Attorneys for Plaintiff  UNITED STATI	ES DISTRICT COURT
11	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
12	SAN FRANCISCO VENUE	
13	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	No. CR 05 0561 PJH
14 15 16 17	Plaintiff, v.  ARNULFO LEON CRUZ and JOSE LOPEZ RAMIREZ,  Defendants.	) ) ) STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ) ORDER CONTINUING SENTENCING DATE ) ) )
19	The parties hereby stipulate that sentencing in this matter be continued from Wednesday	
20	May 10, 2006, at 1:30PM, to Tuesday, June 7, 2006, at 1:30PM. The reasons for the stipulation	
21	for the continuance request are as follows:	
22	The defendants have pleaded guilty to narcotics violations of 21 U.S.C. §§ 841(a)(1) and	
23	846, and have admitted marijuana quantity allegations normally subjecting them to a mandatory	
24	minimum 10-year term of imprisonment. Under 18 U.S.C. § 3553(f), however, the defendants	
25	would not be subject to the 10-year mandatory minimum if a number of conditions are met. It	
26	appears from the PSR that the defendants have met all of those conditions save one: that the	
27	defendants truthfully provide to the United States "all information and evidence the defendant	

Stipulation and Order Continuing Sentencing Date, CR 05 0561 PJH

has concerning the offense or offenses that were part of the same course of conduct or of a

28

common scheme or plan." § 3553(f)(5). The parties have scheduled a proffer session for May 9, 1 2 2006 during which it is expected that the defendants will provide information about this offense. 3 Should the defendants successfully complete this requirement, both their Guidelines calculation and their potential sentences would be affected. 4 5 The parties agree that the sentencing of the defendants before these anticipated proffers 6 occur would not be efficient. Accordingly, the parties agree that continuing the defendants' 7 sentencing date until the proffers occur is the best approach for the efficient administration of 8 justice in this matter. 9 10 IT IS SO STIPULATED. 11 DATED: May 8, 2006 KEVIN V. RYAN 12 United States Attorney 13 14 ROBERT DAVID REES Assistant U.S. Attorney 15 16 17 DATED: May 9, 2006 RANDY MONTESANO, ESQ. Attorney for Defendant Arnulfo Leon Cruz 18 19 20 DATED: May 9, 2006 STUART HANLON, ESQ. Attorney for Defendant Jose Lopez Ramirez 21 22 IT IS SO ORDERED. 23 24 IT IS SO ORDEREI DATED: 5/10/06 25 26 Judge Phyllis J. Hamilton 27 28

Stipulation and Order Continuing Sentencing Date, CR 03